

©
Government of Kerala
കേരള സർക്കാർ
2010



Reg. No. റജി. നമ്പർ
KL/TV(N)/12/2009-2011

KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

അധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധീകൃതമായ

Vol. LV
വാല്യം 55

THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY
തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചോദ്യ

23rd March 2010
2010 മാർച്ച് 23
2nd Chaithra 1932
1932 ചെക്രത്ത് 2

No.
നമ്പർ } 12

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department

Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G.O. (Rt.) No.186/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 2nd February 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The Managing Director, Kerala State Beverages Corporation Limited, Sasthamangalam, Thiruvananthapuram, 2. The Manager, Kerala State Beverages Corporation Limited, Ware House, Kottayam and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. K. N. Sujatha, Kollekkelil, Veloor, Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to Smt. K. N. Sujatha by the management of Kerala State Beverages Corporation Limited is justifiable?
2. If not, what relief the worker is entitled to?

(2)

G . O. (Rt.) No.187/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 2nd February 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The Managing Director, Kerala State Beverages Corporation Limited, Sasthamangalam, Thiruvananthapuram, 2. The Manager, Kerala State Beverages Corporation Limited, Ware House, Kottayam and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Prasannakumari, A . P., Anju Bhavan, Areeparamb P.O., Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Prasannakumari, A . P. by the management of Kerala State Beverages Corporation Limited is justifiable?
2. If not, what relief the worker is entitled to ?

(3)

G . O. (Rt.) No.192/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 2nd February 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The Managing Director, Kerala State Beverages Corporation Limited, Sasthamangalam, Thiruvananthapuram, 2. The Manager, Kerala State Beverages Corporation Limited, Ware House, Kottayam and the worker of the above referred

establishment Smt. Mariyamma Andrews, Arappilkunnel, Areeparamb, Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Mariyamma Andrews by the management of Kerala State Beverages Corporation Limited is justifiable ?

2. If not, what relief the worker is entitled to?

(4)

G . O. (Rt.) No.195/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 2nd February 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Manager, Chenduvvarai Estate, Munnar, Idukki District and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Devikulam Estate Workers Union (AITUC), Munnar P.O., Idukki District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Shri Ramesh (10556), Worker, Karimkulam Division, Ellappetty, Chenduvvarai Estate, Munnar by its management is justifiable or not ?

2. If not, what relief the worker is entitled to ?

(5)

G . O. (Rt.) No.196/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 2nd February 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The General Manager, Deshabhimani Malayalam Daily, Deshabhimani Road, Kaloor, Kochi-17 and the workman of the above referred

establishment Shri E. Padmakumar, Karuveliparabu, Elamakkara P. O., Kochi-26 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri E. Padmakumar, Photographer by the management of Deshabhimani Malayalam Daily is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to get?

(6)

G.O. (Rt.) No.197/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 2nd February 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Manager, Mattupetty Estate, Munnar P. O., Idukki District and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Munikumar, P F No. 8454, South Division, Chockanad, Mattupetty Estate, Munnar in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri Munikumar, P F No. 8454, South Division, Chockanad, Mattupetty Estate, Munnar by the Management is justifiable or not? If not what relief the worker is entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

G. SIVAPRASAD,
Under Secretary to Government.